

2023/24 update

The Forage Value Index (FVI) is a rating system that helps Australian dairy farmers and their advisors to make more informed decisions when selecting Perennial ryegrass cultivars.

It provides an accurate, reliable and independent assessment of the potential economic value of Perennial ryegrass cultivars in different dairy regions of South-east Australia.

Why was been developed?

Australian dairy farmers invest more than \$100 million renovating pastures each year. Until recently, there has been no easy way of assessing the agronomic performance or potential economic benefit of different cultivars for use in dairy production. The selection of better performing cultivars will help to increase pasture productivity at key times of the year and ultimately lead to higher farm profitability.

How is the FVI calculated?

FVI ratings are based on independently calculated Performance Values (PV) for seasonal dry matter production and Economic Values (EV). PVs are determined by industry assessed trial data. EVs are determined by an economic model that accounts for either the replacement cost of feed in deficit or the value of surplus feed as hay or silage in a given region, depending on the season and region. FVI ratings are calculated by multiplying the Performance Value (i.e. kg DM/ha) of each cultivar by its Economic Value (i.e. the seasonal \$ value of each extra kg of pasture grown).

Change in statistical methodology used to calculate performance values for 2024 FVI

Up to 2023, the performance values for each cultivar in the FVI were generated using a Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (BLUP) approach. Following widespread industry consultation by Dairy Australia in 2023, this has now been changed to a slightly different methodology termed a Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE) approach. In practice, both methods are very similar and there was minimal change in the ranking of the Perennial ryegrass FVI for 2024 when BLUEs were used instead of BLUPs to create performance values. A Lins concordance correlation of 0.88 was achieved between both methodologies indicating a very high level of agreement between both approaches.

The main difference between BLUEs and BLUPs is that under the original BLUP methodology there was a very slight regression to the mean in the performance value of a cultivar that had a limited number of trials. This was the case regardless of whether a cultivar ranked very high or very low in the FVI. In some ways it could be viewed as a conservative, cautious prediction of the value of that variety as it had limited trial information. This meant that in many cases newer varieties with perhaps only 3–4 trials worth of data were slightly penalised compared to more established cultivars with several trials of data on its performance within the FVI.









The switch to BLUEs to create performance values essentially removes any regression to the mean in a cultivar ranking. In practice, this means that the total number of trials a variety is present in assumes greater importance as a proxy for its reliability in the overall FVI.

In 2024, some newer cultivars with three trials have ranked quite high in the Perennial FVI in particular, and as the years go on and these cultivars have more data included, FVI users can have greater confidence in their position in the FVI.

The seed industry was strongly supportive of this move to allow newer superior genetics to be ranked without any limitations applied in the FVI; however, users of the FVI should still recognise that cultivars with lower trial numbers may have a greater chance of being re-ranked up or down when new trial data is added in the subsequent years. Notwithstanding this, it is highly unlikely that a cultivar ranked high in the FVI with a small number of trials is going to dramatically slide up or down the rankings when more trial data on that variety are added in later years.

How are FVI ratings expressed?

The PV for each cultivar is expressed as a percentage relative to a well-established reference cultivar in each of the three species. The reference varieties for Annual, Italian and Perennial FVIs respectively are Tetila, Crusader and Victorian. The FVI also uses a colour rating system to differentiate between varieties. All cultivars with the same colour are not 'statistically' significantly different to each other. A green colour rating indicates those cultivars that have performed the best in the trials and have the most potential to contribute to operating profit. A red colour rating indicates those in the bottom group of cultivars for performance and have the least potential to contribute to operating profit. Ideally, farmers should use cultivars in the green column wherever possible to maximise pasture productivity and farm profitability.

What data sources are included to create the performance values?

A range of data sources are used to calculate the FVI ratings. These include trial data from the Pasture Trial Network (PTN) and limited seed company trials that meet strict trial protocols and PTN eligibility data requirements. Only cultivars listed in the Australian Seed Federation Pasture Seed Database (asf.asn.au/seeds/pasture-seed-database) and confirmed as a certified 'variety' are listed in the FVI.

What varieties are eligible for inclusion? To be included in the FVI database, each Perennial ryegrass cultivar must have data from at least three three-year plot trials that have been conducted using Pasture Trials Network (PTN) protocols. Annual ryegrass trials run for one season and Italian ryegrass trials run for 1-2 years depending on location, and a variety must also be in at least three trials to be included in the FVI. All plot trials are managed under

mown conditions, with herbage cut, dried and weighed. Dry matter yield is assessed by direct measurement (i.e. cut, dried and weighed). Nitrogen is applied after each mowing at a rate equivalent to the amount contained in the dry matter removed. Only trials that meet these criteria are considered for inclusion in the FVI database.

Who determines whether data is eligible? All trial data is reviewed by a Technical Advisory Committee to determine its eligibility for inclusion in the FVI database. The FVI Technical Advisory Committee currently comprises representatives from farmers, research organisations and some of the commercial seed companies. Once approved, data is analysed by accredited statisticians using modern analysis tools similar to those used in the successful national trialling network for the grains industry.

Are there trials in my region? Trials have been conducted in the majority of Australia's dairy regions, especially where ryegrass is an important component of the feedbase. The number and range of trials has expanded greatly since the FVI was first released. The power and value of the FVI is in the aggregated data pool and this is the most comprehensive and accurate combined data pool for ryegrass cultivars in Australia.

Where can I access the FVI?

The FVI information can be accessed free of charge from the Dairy Australia website.

What pasture species are included in the FVI in 2024?

The FVI initially started in 2017 with Perennial ryegrass cultivars only for Victoria and Tasmania. In 2021, this was expanded to include Annual and Italian ryegrass for Victoria and Tasmania. In 2022, North-coast and South-coast New South Wales lists were added for Annual and Italian ryegrass for the first time.

What traits are included?

The FVI ratings are based on the potential economic value of seasonal dry matter yield for each cultivar. This year for the first time, cultivar level data on seasonal forage quality – measured as metabolisable energy per kilogram of dry matter – is included in the Perennial ryegrass FVI. This will be expanded to include the Annual and Italian ryegrass FVIs in 2025.

Persistence is also a trait of economic importance in the Perennial ryegrass species and efforts to measure this at a cultivar level are still in development.

Can I use the FVI if my region is not yet directly listed?

Yes it is still useful to check the lists even if your region is not yet listed. At present, many of the same varieties are sown across the country regardless of location. Farmers in places like South-east South Australia should use the South-west Victoria FVI for example.



Who developed the FVI?

The FVI was developed as a partnership between several industry associations and government bodies as part of a wider strategy to increase the productivity and profitability of Australian dairy farms. They include Dairy Australia, the Pastures Trial Network, Agriculture Victoria, DairyNZ, the Australian Seed Federation and Meat and Livestock Australia.

How has the Forage Value Index changed in 2023/24?

All three species (Perennial, Annual and Italian) have been updated this year with new data from newly available Pasture Trial Network trials. For Perennial ryegrass, new PTN trials from Glenthompson and Warrnambool (both South-west Vic) have been added while in the Italian ryegrass FVI, new trials from Bairnsdale (Gippsland) and Oaks (Tasmania) were added. In Annual ryegrass, three new trials from Warrnambool (South-west Vic), Bairnsdale (Gippsland) and Oaks (Tasmania) were included. In addition, the statistical methodology used to create the PV's for each cultivar has been updated; see shaded box on page 1 for more details.

Why is there no longer an autumn performance value for Italian and Annual ryegrass?

Autumn production is defined as pasture harvested in trials from March to May. In the vast majority of short-term ryegrass (Annual and Italian) trials from the PTN, the first cut does not take place until June or later, depending on sowing date and region. For example, a typical Annual ryegrass trial might have been sown on 15 April and the first harvest cut on 5 June. This led to an imbalance in the data whereby there was enough cuts and observations in the trials from June onwards to allow reliable performance values to be generated for winter, early spring, late spring and summer. However, not for autumn, which requires a critical mass of harvest data prior to 31 May. From 2023 onwards, we didn't publish an autumn performance value for each variety. This was based on very limited data that we have available at this stage, which may not be reliable. Instead, we have decided this year to only create BLUEs (performance values) for winter, early spring, late spring and summer for each variety in Annual and Italian ryegrass.

Will autumn performance values return to the FVI in future for Annual and Italian ryegrass?

Yes, the solution to this issue is to generate enough trial data for these species that is sown early enough to have a cut taken before 31 May. In 2023, the PTN successfully completed three new Annual and Italian ryegrass trials early enough to have the first cut taken before 31 May. This will lead to a sufficient critical mass of data points for each variety in autumn and allow a performance value to be published for autumn for all varieties in the FVI in future.

All Annual and Italian ryegrass trials are sown with the intention of taking the first cut in May; however, it isn't possible to guarantee early established trials in every region and this is part of the problem. In a region like South-west Victoria for example, unless irrigation is present, it is very difficult to guarantee early establishment and both farmers and trial operators in this region will often wait for the autumn break before sowing. In recent years this has not happened until well into May, and clearly any trials not sown until late April or early May will not have their first cut until well after 1 June. It doesn't mean these trials are any less valuable as they reflect the environment that farmers were dealing with in that region in that year. In most regions, the weather condition have a massive part to play in determining when trials can be sown. Other factors within PTN control, such as seed availability from companies and quarantine arrangements for seed in each state, have also caused delays in the past to trial sowing dates but these are being improved each year by the PTN.

What about Perennial ryegrass, why does that have an autumn performance value?

Perennial ryegrass trials run for three years. Generally, no harvest data is collected from autumn in year 1 for the same reasons outlined above. However, multiple cuts are achieved in years two and three in autumn. This allows a robust prediction of the yield potential of each variety to be generated between March and May in years two and three of these trials.

Don't most Italian ryegrass trials go for two years though? Why isn't data from the second autumn used in the Italian ryegrass FVI?

Of the 18 Italian ryegrass trials from the PTN, less than half of them run for two years. It is very dependent on location and environment. Broadly speaking, anything north of the high rainfall regions of South-west Victoria and Gippsland will only run for one year and anything south of there will run for two years with an odd exception. Most farmers in Australia use Italian ryegrass as a 'late' Annual that lasts later into early summer than most Annuals if moisture permits. In places like Tasmania and south Gippsland, where there is an expectation that Italian ryegrass will persist for two years, the variety selection considerations can be quite different. This is because of the presence of novel endophytes in the varieties, which become a factor that isn't greatly considered for a single year of production. For this reason, we have decided to only use year one data from Italian ryegrass trials in the FVI. Any harvest data from two-year Italian ryegrass trials after 28 February in year two is not included. Therefore, there is no data to create an autumn performance value in the Italian ryegrass FVI.

Will there be a two-year Italian ryegrass FVI in future?

Yes, once we have sufficient trials. We probably need approximately 8–9 trial datasets to create a viable two-year Italian ryegrass FVI, which will definitely have autumn performance values. This will likely happen in the next two years.

Will the two-year Italian ryegrass FVI look much different to the one year version?

It is too early to say at this stage. Logically, any varieties with novel endophytes such as AR37 or NEA should withstand insect pressure during hot dry summers at the end of year one in the PTN trials. This is much better than varieties with no endophytes, and it is likely these varieties will rank much higher in the two-year Italian ryegrass FVI. This is important for farmers and service providers to be aware of and is one of the main reasons we don't just have a single Italian ryegrass FVI with one and two year datasets mixed together.

What are hybrid ryegrass varieties?

Hybrid ryegrasses, positioned between Italian and perennial varieties, excel in both growth and persistence. Hybrids will generally have superior winter and early spring production compared to perennial ryegrass due to their Italian ryegrass parentage. Therefore they provide an option to maximise production over a shorter time period of around 2-4 years. Developed by crossing Italian with perennial ryegrass, their longevity or persistence will generally be less than perennial ryegrass, but more than Italian ryegrass.

Care must be taken in selecting the hybrid ryegrass for your system as there are use patterns ranging from shorter-term (2-3 years), to medium (3-4 years), to longer-term where hybrids essentially perform like a perennial ryegrass. This depends on the level of Italian vs perennial parentage in the hybrid variety. Generally the more perennial in nature the parentage is, the less winter and early spring production it will exhibit, but there are exceptions to the rule. The agronomic characteristics depend on the genetic background and breeding objectives. As hybrids are common in intensive production, where farmers are looking to maximise annual forage production, optimal pasture management is crucial for maximising performance and longevity.

How are Hybrids presented in the FVI?

Given the fit of hybrids into a perennial dairying system, and the relatively short 3-year PTN trial & evaluation program that feeds into the FVI, hybrids have previously been listed in the Dairy Australia perennial ryegrass FVI to assist farmers in understanding their fit and benefit relative to perennial ryegrass over that timeframe.

However from 2024 onwards, they are listed in a separate sub-list to the true perennial varieties in recognition of the fact that they are not the same, but still compared to the perennial ryegrass reference cultivar (which is Victorian or "Vic-rye").

Some hybrids have been trialled in the Italian ryegrass PTN trials and in the future when a 2-year Italian ryegrass FVI is generated, these cultivars will be listed.

In an ideal scenario, longer-term perennial ryegrass trials of 5 years or more would reflect both the yield and persistence of yield over a longer period for each variety but logistical and resourcing constraints within the PTN mean that for now, perennial ryegrass varieties are evaluated over a 3-year timeframe. This is under review at present within the PTN to see if longer perennial trials are feasible.

Give all data in the perennial & hybrid ryegrass FVI reflects yield performance over 3 years, care must be taken in understanding the positioning and value of hybrids listed in the perennial ryegrass FVI tables, their benefit to your system in respect to seasonal growth patterns, and the expected longevity before sowing a hybrid variety. It is highly recommended to consult with your agronomist when deciding between a perennial or hybrid variety.

Why are the rankings for all the regions in each FVI quite similar?

The FVI has two components to it – performance values and economic values. At present, the economic values are different for each region but the performance values are the same for each region. In order to have different performance values for each region, we need enough trials to do a genotype x environment analysis to determine which cohorts of trials are similar in rankings and can be clustered together. Until that happens, all trials are analysed together to create a single set of performance values for all regions. Importantly, the assumption is often that trials in the same region are similar. For example, it is logical to think that all trials from the same site – say Howlong in Northern Victoria are similar across years. So, these trials should be given a greater weighting in the calculation of performance values for Northern Victoria. One would also logically assume that trials in NSW from the same location, such as Taree, are similar in rankings from year to year and should be given greater weight in the NSW FVI. Preliminary analysis of the Perennial ryegrass trials indicates that it's not as simple as this. Often variation between years in rankings of varieties at the same site is greater than variation in ranking between sites in completely different agro-ecological zones. Until we have enough data that we can confidently demonstrate a critical mass of trials have similar rankings across the five FVI seasons from within a given region or rainfall range or agroecological zone, it is safer to use a single performance BLUP incorporating all trials and only allow regional differentiation in each season via the economic values.

Dry matter yield is just one trait influencing the value of a variety. When will other traits of importance be assessed in the FVI rankings?

In 2024, forage quality information on a varietal level has been included in the FVI tables for the first time, both on an yearly average basis and on a seasonal basis.

However, it is not yet contributing to the total FVI value and subsequent ranking of each cultivar. We plan to introduce this feature in 2025. Additionally, two new PTN trials each of Annual and Italian ryegrass have been sampled for Forage Quality in 2023 and will be included in the 2025 FVI updates for those two species.

Persistence is another trait of importance in Perennial ryegrass and needs to be accounted for in the index. Generating sufficient data on persistence is very challenging in Perennial ryegrass. Trials would ideally need to be run for six years or more, doubling the cost to the PTN. In addition, there is a strong likelihood that varieties entered in year one in persistence trials would already be surpassed by competitors in the marketplace in the intervening years by the time the six years or more of persistence trial data is evaluated and added to the FVI. Work is well advanced as part of the FVI futures project in Dairy Feedbase to address this challenge via remote sensor technology that can potentially fast track the evaluation of Perennial ryegrass cultivars for persistence.

Will there be an FVI for Western Australia in 2024?

Yes there will be a Western Australia FVI for Annual ryegrass initially using ten trials carried out in WA between 2016 and 2023, at Busselton, Boyanup, Dardanup, Forest Grove and Manjimup. The environment in the South-west WA dairy production region is quite different to the rest of Australia with a short and very defined growing season from May to November that does not deviate year to year. Hence, we have decided to create a separate FVI for this region containing only trial data from WA. If enough Italian ryegrass trial data becomes available, we may look at creating an Italian ryegrass FVI for WA too in future. Another important factor in WA is the length of the growing season – in a long growing season where moisture is available to late spring or early summer, it is more likely that yield differences in rankings of Annual and Italian ryegrass varieties will be apparent. In contrast to some of the previous years, 2022 has been an excellent growing season in WA and may also explain why the trial at Busselton showed yield differences between varieties that were not as evident in many of the earlier trials in WA. Economic values for WA have not yet been generated as so only performace values are used to rank the cultivars. Economic values for this region will be generated in the future.

Will there be an FVI for other species than ryegrass in future?

That depends on their being enough trial data of a sufficient quality to create an index. Continental Tall Fescue is probably the most likely next species to have a FVI created but this is still a few years away at least. In general, there are less varieties and less trials in the PTN for species like Cocksfoot, Phalaris and Tall Fescue, which is a refection of the demand of the seed companies involved to evaluate ryegrass varieties in greater numbers.

However, Dairy Australia has funded a comprehensive PTN Tall Fescue trial with the majority of relevant cultivars for dairy farmers included at Warrnambool, sown in 2020. This concluded in autumn 2023 and forage quality samples, which were also collected at several cuts on this site, were analysed and will be publicised on various channels in 2024. The trial yield results can be viewed on the PTN/MLA E-tool already (Warrnambool 2020 - Continental Tall Fescue). This is not a multi environment FVI for Tall Fescue but will still provide useful independent data on forage quality and yield for many tall fescue varieties.

Can individual trial results from the PTN be viewed in addition to the FVI?

Yes – all trials are available to view on the PTN website, which can be downloaded here. Results of trials from many different species over several years are available. We encourage farmers using the FVI to also look at individual trial results from locations similar to their own environment or farm and factor these results into any decisions about variety selection.

